



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/782,734

02/19/2004

Shafiq Ur Rahman

MS1-1848US

3409

22801

7590

06/03/2008

LEE & HAYES PLLC

421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500

SPOKANE, WA 99201

EXAMINER

ITURRALDE, ENRIQUE W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2179

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/03/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |   |                                      |  |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/782,734    | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>RAHMAN ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>ENRIQUE W. ITURRALDE | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2179              |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ENRIQUE W. ITURRALDE. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jacob Rowher. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,41,84.

Identification of prior art discussed: Balkus, Sheasby.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant provided an explanation of the dynamic and parallel processing aspects of his invention. Amendments to claims 1, 41, and 84 were proposed by applicant. Examiner agreed to review and consider the amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Weilun Lo/, SPE, AU 2179

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required